

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/597,098

Applicant(s)

KREILING, NILS

Examiner

Paul A. Chenevert

Art Unit

3612

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Paul A. Chenevert.

(3) _____.

(2) Christopher W. Quinn.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 04 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-8.

Identification of prior art discussed: Corder et al. and Pecho et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A call was made to clarify if Claim 3 was to depend from Claim 1 or wheter Claim 3 was intended to be independent. It was determined that Claim 3 was intended to be independent.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/PAC/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required